

FACULTAT D'ODONTOLOGÍA
UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA
DEPARTAMENT D'ODONTOESTOMATOLOGIA

TESIS DOCTORAL

EVALUACIÓN DE LA ACTIVIDAD
OSTEOBLÁSTICA EN LA INTERFASE DE
IMPLANTES DENTARIOS CON DIFERENTES
SUPERFICIES MEDIANTE GAMMAGRAFÍA
ÓSEA CON Tc⁹⁹ MDF. ESTUDIO EN UN
MODELO ANIMAL

M. Ángeles Sánchez Garcés

Director: Prof. Dr. Cosme Gay Escoda

9.- BIBLIOGRAFÍA.

9- BIBLIOGRAFÍA.

1. Branemark P, Zarb G, Albrektson T. Prótesis tejido-integradas. La oseointegración en la Odontología clínica. Barcelona: Quintessence; 1999.
2. Zaragoza J. Física e instrumentación médicas. 2º ed. Barcelona: Ediciones Científicas y Técnicas; 1992.
3. Pérez Piqueras J. Medicina nuclear clínica. Madrid: Editorial Marbán; 1991.
4. Desgrez X, Moretti X, Robert X, Vinot X. Compendio de medicina nuclear. Madrid: Editorial A.C; 1979.
5. Gil Gayarre M, Delgado García M, Martínez Morillo M, Otón Sánchez C. Manual de radiología clínica. Madrid: Harcourt España; 1994.
6. Maisey M. Medicina nuclear. Aspectos clínicos. Barcelona: Doyma; 1983.
7. Brown M, O'Connor M, Hung J, Hayostec R. Technical aspects of bone scintigraphy. Radiol Clin North Am 1993;31:721-30.
8. Ishizu K, Mukai T, Yonekura Y, Pagani M, Fujita T, Magata Y, y cols. Ultra-high resolution SPECT system using four pinhole collimators for samall animal studies. J Nuclear Med 1995;36:2287-9.

9. Weber D, Ivanovic M, Franceschi D. Pinhole SPECT: An approach to in vivo high-resolution SPECT imaging in small laboratory animals. *J Nuclear Med* 1994;35:342-8.

10. Weber D, Ivanovic M. Pinhole SPECT: Ultra-high resolution imaging for small animal studies. *J Nuclear Med* 1995;36:2287-9.

11. Weber D, Ivanovic M. Ultra high resolution imaging of small animals: Implications for preclinical and research studies. *J Nuclear Cardiol* 1999;6:332-44.

12. Hasegawa B, Kirch D, Stern D, Adams M, Sklar J, Johnson T. Single-photon emission tomography with a 12 pinhole collimator. *J Nuclear Med* 1982;23:606-12.

13. Murray I, Dixon J. The role of single photon emission computed tomography in bone scintigraphy. *Skeletal Radiol* 1989;18:493-505.

14. Vanhove C, Defrise M, Franken P, Everaert H, Deconinck F, A B. Interest of the ordered subsets expectation maximization (OS-EM) algorithm in pinhole single-photon emission tomography reconstruction: A phantom study. *Eur J Nuclear Med* 2000;27:140-6.

15. Yokoi T, Kishi H. Development of a high resolution pinhole SPECT system using dual-head gamma camera for small animal studies. *Yaku Igaku (resumen)* 1998;35:901-7.
16. Grosev D, Medvedec M, Loncaric S, Zuvic M, Dodig D, Smuc T. Determination of small objects from pinhole scintigrams. *Nuclear Med Communic* 1998;19:679-88.
17. Bahk Y, Chung S, Park Y, Kim S, Lee H. Pinhole SPECT imaging in normal and morbid ankles. *J Nuclear Med* 1998;39:130-9.
18. Jaszczak R, Li J, Wang H, Zalutsky M, Coleman R. Pinhole collimation for ultra high resolution, small field of view SPECT. *Phys Med Biol* 1994;39:425-37.
19. Schliephake H, Berding G. Evaluation of bone healing in the patients with bone grafts and endosseous implant using single photon emission tomography (SPECT). *Clin Oral Impl Res* 1998;9:34-42.
20. Connolly L, Treves S, Connolly S, Zimmerman R, Bar-Sever Z, Itrato D, y cols. Pediatric skeletal scintigraphy: Applications of pinhole magnification. *Radiograph* 1998;18:341-51.
21. Bahk Y, Park Y, Chung S, Chi J. Bone pathologic correlation of multimodality imaging in Paget's disease. *J Nuclear Med* 1995;36:1421-6.

22. Maillefert J, Toubeau M, Piroth C, Brunotte F, Tavernier C. Bone scintigraphy equipped with a pinhole collimator for diagnosis of avascular necrosis of the femoral head. *Clin Reumatol* 1997;16:372-7.

23. Spence L, Kaar K, McCabe J, O'Neill M. The role of bone scintigraphy with pinhole collimation in the evaluation of symptomatic paediatric hips. *Clin Radiol* 1994;49:820-3.

24. Gilday D, Ash J. Benign bone tumors. *Semin Nucl Med* 1976;6:33-46.

25. Gumerman L, Fogel S, MA G, Hanley EJ, Kappakas G, Rutkowski R, y cols. Experimental fracture healing: Evaluation using radionuclide bone imaging: Concise communication. *J Nuclear Med* 1978;19:1320-3.

26. Chan W, Carolan M, Fernandes V, Abbati D. Planar versus SPET imaging in the assessment of condylar growth. *Nuclear Med Communic* 2000;21:285-90.

27. DeNucci D, Chen C, Sobiski C, S M. The use of SPECT bone scans to evaluate patients with idiopathic jaw pain. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2000;90:750-7.

28. Rossmuller B, Hahn K, Fischer S. Bone scintigraphy in non-neoplastic diseases in children. *Q J Nuclear Med* 1998;42:133-47.

29. Kim S, Chung S, Bahk Y, Park Y, Lee H, Sohn H. Whole body and pinhole bone scintigraphic manifestations of Reiter's syndrome: Distribution patterns and early and characteristic signs. *Eur J Nuclear Med* 1999;26:163-70.

30. Schimming R, Juengling F, Lauer G, Schmelzeisen R. Evaluation of microvascular bone graft reconstruction of the head and neck with 3-D ^{99m}Tc -DPD SPECT scans. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2000;90:679-85.

31. Bahk Y, Kim O, Chung S. Pinhole collimator scintigraphy in differential diagnosis of metastasis, fracture, and infections of the spine. *J Nuclear Med* 1987;28:447-51.

32. McKinney RJ, Steflik D, Koth D. The biological tissue response to dental implants. En: McKinney RJ, ed. *Endosteal dental implants*. St. Louis.: Mosby Year Book; 1991. p. 37-50.

33. Hollinger J, Buck D, Bruder S. Biology of bone healing: its impact on clinical therapy. En: Lynch S, ed. *Tissue engineering*. Carol Stream: Quintessence; 1999. p. 17-54.

34. Lynch S, Genco R, Marx R. *Tissue engineering*. Carol Stream: Quintessence; 1999.

35. Bianchi A. Prótesis implantosoportada. Bases biológicas. Biomecánica. Aplicaciones clínicas. Caracas: Actualidades Médico Odontológicas Latinoamérica; 2001.

36. Young M, Kierr J, Ibaraka K, Heegaard A, Robey P. Structure, expression, and regulation of the major noncollagenous matrix proteins of bone. Clin Orthop 1991;281:285-93.

37. Urist M. Bone: Formation by autoinduction. Science 1965;150:895-9.

38. Urist M, Strates B. Bone morphogenetic protein. J Dent Res 1971;50:1392-6.

39. Wozney J, Rosen V, Celeste A, Mitsock L, Whitters M, Kriz R, y cols. Novel regulators of bone formation: Molecular clones and activities. Science 1988;242:15-28.

40. Celeste A, Ross B, Yamaji N, Wozney J. The molecular cloning of human bone morphogenetic proteins -10, -11 and 12, three members of the transforming growth factor-beta superfamily. J Bone Miner Res 1995;10:334-9.

41. Nishitoh H, Ichijo H, Kimura M, Matsumoto T, Makishima F, Yamaguchi A, y cols. Identification of type I and type II serine/threonine kinase receptors for growth/differentiation factor-5. J Biol Chem 1996;271:213-45.

42. Anitua E, Andia I. Nuevo enfoque en la regeneración ósea. Vitoria,: Puesta al día publicaciones; 2000. p.17-92.

43. Bambini F, Meme L, Procaccini M, Ross B, Lo Muzio L. Bone scintigraphy and SPECT in the evaluation of the osseointegrative response to immediate prosthetic loading of endosseous implants: A pilot study. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2004;19:80-6.

44. Davies J. Mechanisms of endosseous integration. *Int J Prosthodont* 1998;11:391-401.

45. Osborn J, Newsley H. Dynamics aspect of the implant-bone interface. En: Heimke G, ed. *Dental implants- Materials and Systems*. Munich: Carl Hanser; 1980. p. 111-23.

46. Kupp L. Superficies de implante y formación de hueso. *Insight* 2000;3:10-2.

47. Shibata Y, Hosaka M, Kawai H, Miyazaki T. Glow discharge plasma treatment of titanium plates enhances adhesion of osteoblast-like cells to plates through the integrin-mediated mechanism. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2002;17:771-7.

48. Meyer U, Joos H, Wiessmann J. Biological and biophysical principles in extracorporeal bone tissue engineering. Part I. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2004;33:325-32.

49. Lavos-Valereto I, Deboni M, Azambuja N, Marques M. Evaluation of the titanium Ti-6Al-7Nb alloy with and without plasma-sprayed hydroxyapatite coating on growth and viability of cultured osteoblast-like cells. *J Periodontol* 2002;73:900-5.

50. Sennerby L. On the bone tissue response to titanium implants. Gothenburg: University of Gothenburg; 1991.

51. Albrektsson T, Branemark P, Hansson H, Ivarsson B, Jonsson U. Ultrastructural analysis of the interface zone of titanium and gold implants. *Adv Biomater* 1982;4:167-77.

52. Hansson H, Albrektson T, Branemark P. Structural aspects of the interface between tissue and titanium implants. *J Prosthet Dent* 1983;50:109-13.

53. Manero J, Salsench J, Nogueras J, Aparicio C, Padrós A, Balcells M, y cols. Growth of bioactive surfaces on dental implants. *Impl Dent* 2002;11:170-3.

54. Manero J, Salsench J, Nogueras J, Aparicio C, Padrós A, Balcells M, y cols. Crecimiento de las superficies bioactivas en implantes dentales. *Rev Esp Odontoestomatol Impl* 2002;10:224-8.

55. Brunski J. Influence of biomechanical factors at the bone-biomaterial interface. En: Davis J, ed. *The bone-biomaterial interface*. Toronto: Univ Toronto Press; 1991. p. 391-405.

56. Rodriguez D. El titanio (1° parte). Propiedades. *Impladent Magazine* 2002;2:11-5.

57. Peñarrocha Diago M, Oltra Moscardó M, Sanchís Bielsa J. Conceptos generales de implantología. En: Peñarrocha Diago M, ed. *Implantología Oral*. Barcelona: Medicina stl Editores; 2001. p. 3-17.

58. Garbaccio D, Frezza G. Características del implante ideal. *Odontoestomatol & Implantoprot* 1992;5:265-70.

59. English C. Generalidades sobre los componentes de los implantes. *Arch Odontoestomatol* 1991;7:238-43.

60. Linkow L. Endosseous bladevent implant: A two year report. *J Prosthet Dent* 1970;23:441-5.

61. Lubar R, Katin R. Sistemas de implantes que incorporan el diseño de cilindro hueco. *Arch Odontoestomatol* 1991;7:255-61.

62. Powers M, Bosker H, Van Pelt H, Dunbar N. The transmandibular implant: Fron progressive bone loss to controlled bone growth. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1994;52:904-10.

63. Small I. El implante mandibular fijo: Sus indicaciones en prostodoncia. *Arch Odontoestomatol* 1991;7:244-8.

64. Homoly P. La técnica restauradora y quirúrgica del implante subperióstico maxilar completo. Arch Odontostomatol 1991;7:269-76.

65. Martínez-González J, Cano Sánchez J, Martínez-González M, García-Sabán F. Diseño de los implantes dentales: Estado actual. Av Periodon Implantol 2002;14:129-36.

66. Sahiwal I, Woody R, Benson B, Guillen G. Macro design morphology of endosseous dental implants. J Prosthet Dent 2002;87:543-51.

67. Holt R, Rosenberg M, Zinser P, Ganeles J. A concept for a biologically derived, parabolic implant design. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent 2002;22:473-81.

68. Sánchez A, Bermejo A. Revisión de los constituyentes implantológicos en función de sus relaciones tisulares. Quintessence (ed. Española) 2001;14:68-75.

69. Gil F, Aparicio C, Padrós A, Thams U, San Román F, Muñoz F. Análisis y diferencias de las superficies de los implantes arenados con óxido aluminio, con otros materiales abrasivos y tratados mediante gravado ácido. Estudio in vitro. Boletín de divulgación científica y técnica de implantología odontológica 2002;11:14-26.

70. Wennerberg A, Albrektson T, Andersson B. Design and surface characteristics of 13 commercially available oral implant systems. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 1993;8:622-33.

71. Klokkevold P, Nishimura R, Adachi M, Caputo A. Osseointegration enhanced by chemical etching of the titanium surface. A torque removal study in rabbit. *Clin Oral Impl Res* 1997;8:442-7.

72. Gil F. Comportamiento mecánico de los implantes dentales de titanio. *Esp Odontostomatol Impl* 2000;8:355-63.

73. Maeztu M, Alava J, Gay- Escoda C. Ion implantation: Surface treatment for improving the bone integration of titanium and Ti6Al4V dental implants. *Clin Oral Impl Res* 2003;14:57-62.

74. Wennerberg A, Albrektson T. Suggested guidelines for the topographic evaluation of implant surfaces. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2000;15:331-44.

75. Hall J, Lausmaa J. Properties of a new porous oxide surface on titanium implants. *Applied Osseoint Res* 2000;1:5-14.

76. Sykaras N, Iacopino A, Marker V, Triplett G, Woody R. Implant materials, designs and surface topographies: Their effect on osseointegration. A literature review. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2000;15:675-86.

77. Mante M, Daniels B, Golden E, Diefenderfer D, Reilly G, Leboy P. Attachment of human marrow stromal cells to titanium surfaces. *J Oral Implant* 2003;29:66-72.

78. Testori T, Wiseman L, Woolfe S, Porter S. A prospective multicenter clinical study of the Osseotite implant: Four year interim report. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2001;16:193-200.

79. Lim Y, Oshida Y, Andres C, Barco M. Surface characterizations of variously treated titanium materials. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2001;16:333-42.

80. Cooper L. A role for surface topography in creating and maintaining bone at titanium endosseous implant. *J Prosthet Dent* 2001;84:522-34.

81. Sanz A, Oyarzún A, Farias D, Díaz I. Experimental study of bone response to a new surface treatment of endosseous titanium implants. *Impl Dent* 2001;10:126-9.

82. Arruga Artal A, Gomez Casal F, Moreno Chulilla J. Evaluación de la adherencia de las células hematológicas periféricas a implantes dentales de titanio sistema "Intri". Estudio in vitro. *Rev Esp Odontoestol Impl* 2001;9:99-106.

83. Li D, Liu B, Wu J, Chen J. Bone interface of dental implants cytologically influenced by a modified sandblasted surface: A preliminary in vitro study. *Impl Dent* 2001;10:132-8.

84. Li D, Liu B, Han Y, Xu K. Effects of a modified sandblasting surface treatment on topographic and chemical properties of titanium surface. *Impl Dent* 2001;10:59-64.

85. Ong J, Bessho K, Carnes D. Bone response to plasma sprayed hydroxyapatite and radiofrequency-sputtered calcium phosphate implants in vivo. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2002;17:581-6.

86. Rupprecht S, Bloch A, Rosiwal S, Neukan F, Wiltfang J. Examination of the bone-metal interface of titanium implants coated by microwave plasma chemical vapor deposition method. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2002;17:778-85.

87. Lazzara R, Testori T, Trisi P, Porter S, Weinstein R. A human histologic analysis of Osseotite and machined surfaces using implants with opposing surfaces. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent* 1999;19:117-29.

88. Maeztu M, Gómez M, Arévalo E, Alava E, Alonso F. Análisis estructural de los principales sistemas utilizados en la actualidad. *Periodoncia* 1999;9:195-204.

89. Cook S, Thomas K, Jarcho M. Interface mechanics and histology of titanium and AH-coated titanium for dental implant applications. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1987;2:15-22.

90. Block M, Kent J, Kay F. Evaluation of HA-coated titanium dental implants in dogs. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1987;45:601-7.

91. Rodríguez Rius D, García Saban F. Caracterización físico-química de la superficie de 9 implantes dentales con 3 distintos tratamientos de superficie. *Med Oral Pathol Oral Cir Bucal* 2005;10:58-65.

92. Stefflik D, Corpe R, Young T, Parr G, Toker M, Sims M, y cols. Light microscopic and scanning electron microscopic retrieval analyses of implanted biomaterials retrieved from humans and experimental animals. *J Oral Implant* 2001;27:5-15.

93. Larsson C. The interface between bone and implants with different surface oxide properties. *Applied Osseoint Res* 2000;1:9-14.

94. McQueen D, Sundgren J-E, Ivarsson B, Lundstöm I, afEknstam B, Svensson A, y cols. Auger electron spectroscopic studies of titanium implants. En: Lee A, Albrektsson T, Branemark P-I, eds. *Clinical applications of biomaterials*. New York: Wiley; 1982.

95. Chen G, Wen X, Zhang N. Corrosion resistance and ion dissolution of titanium with different surface microroughness. *Biomed Mater Eng* 1998;8:61-74.

96. Cooper L, Masuda T, Whitson S, Yliheikkilä P, Felton D. Formation of mineralizing osteoblast cultures on machined, titanium oxide grit-blasted and plasma-sprayed titanium surfaces. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1999;14:37-47.

97. Rolim E. Superficies de los implantes- estado actual. En: Cícero J, Daudt W, eds. *Implantes oseointegrados. Cirugía y prótesis*. Sao Paulo.: Editora Artes Médicas; 2003. p. 53-70.

98. Morra M, Cassinelli C, Meda L, Fini M, Giavaresi G, Giardino R. Surface analysis and effects on interfacial bone microhardness of collagen-coated titanium implants: a rabbit model. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2005;20:23-30.

99. Ericsson I, Nilson H, Nilner K. Immediate functional loading of Branemark single tooth implants. A 5-year clinical follow-up study. *Applied Osseoint Res* 2001;2:12-6.

100. Adriaenssens P, Hermans M. Immediate implant function in the anterior maxilla: a surgical technique to enhance primary stability for branemark MkIII and MkIV implants. A randomised, prospective clinical study at the 1-year follow up. *Applied Osseoint Res* 2001;2:17-21.

101. Albrektson T, Zarb G, Worthington P, Eriksson A. The long-term efficacy of currently used dental implants: And review and proposed criteria for succes. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1987;1:11-26.

102. Dario L, Cucchiario P, Deluzio A. Electronic monitoring of dental implant osseointegration. *JADA* 2002;133:483-9.

103. Aparicio C. The use of Periotest value as the initial success criteria of an implant: 8 years report. *Int J Periodont Rest Dent* 1997;17:151-61.

104. Teerlinck J, Quirynen M, Darius P, Van Steenberghe D. Periotest: An objective clinical diagnosis of bone apposition toward implants. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1991;6:55-61.

105. Sennerby L, Meredith N. Resonance frequency analysis: Measuring implant stability and osseointegration. *Compendium* 1998;19:493-502.

106. Henry P, Tan A, Allan B, Hall J, Johansson C. Removal torque comparison of TiUnite and turned implants in the greyhound dog mandible. *Applied Osseoint Res* 2000;1:15-7.

107. Sennerby L, Miyamoto I. Insertion torque and RFA analysis of TiUnite and SLA implants. A study in the rabbit. *Applied Osseoint Res* 2000;1:31-3.

108. Friberg B, Sennerby L, Meredith N, Lekholm U. A comparison between cutting torque and resonance frequency measurements of maxillary implants. A 20 months follow-up. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1999;28:297-303.

109. Meredith N, Shagaldi F, Alleyne D, Sennerby L, Cawley P. The application of resonance frequency measurements to study the stability of titanium implants during healing in the rabbit tibia. *Clin Oral Impl Res* 1997;8:234-43.

110. Meredith N. Assessment of implant stability as a prognostic determinant. *Int J Prosthodont* 1998;11:491-501.

111. Meredith N, Alleyne D, Cawley P. Quantitative determination of the stability of the implant-tissue interface using resonance frequency analysis. *Clin Oral Impl Res* 1996;7:261-7.

112. Meredith N, Book K, Friberg B. Resonance frequency measurements of implant stability in vivo. *Clin Oral Impl Res* 1997;8:226-33.

113. Sennerby L, Meredith N. Diagnostic de la stabilité d'un implant par l'analyse de sa fréquence de résonance. *Implant* 1999;5:93-100.

114. Rompen E, DaSilva D, Lundgren A-K, Gottlow J, Sennerby L. Stability measurements of double-threaded titanium implant desing with turned or oxidized surface. *Applied Osseoint Res* 2000;1:18-20.

115. Galasko C. Proceedings: The pathological basis for skeletal scintigraphy. *Br J Radiology* 1975;48:72-6.

116. Khan O, Archibald A, Thomson E, Maharaj P. The role of quantitative single photon emission computerized tomography (SPECT) in osseous integration process of dental implants. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2000;90:228-32.

117. Meidan Z, Weisman S, Baron J, Binderman I. Technetium 99m-MDP scintigraphy of patients undergoing implant prosthetic procedures: A follow up study. *J Periodontol* 1994;65:330-35.

118. Stvrtecky R, Kaufman M, Borgetti Z. Bone scintigraphy and osseointegration (preliminary report). *J Oral Implant* 1995;21:239-42.

119. Cervelli V, Cipriani C, Migliano E, Guidiceandrea F, Cervelli G, Grimaldi M. SPECT in the long term evaluation of osseointegration in intraoral and extraoral implantology. *J Craniofac Surg* 1997;8:379-82.

120. Sela J, Shani J, Kohavi D, Soskolne W, Itzhak K, Boyan B, y cols. Uptake and biodistribution of ^{99m}technetium methylene-[³²P] difosfonate during endosteal healing around titanium, stainless steel and hydroxyapatite implants in rat tibial bone. *Biomaterials* 1995;16:1373-80.

121. Gülaldi M, Shahlafar J, Makhsoosi M, Caner B, Araz K, Erben G. Scintigraphic evaluation of healing response after heterograft usage for alveolar extraction cavity. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 1998;85:520-5.

122. Meningaud J, Basset J, Divaris M, Bertrand J. Cinegamography and 3-D emission tomoscintigraphy for evaluation of revascularized mandibular bone grafts: a preliminary report. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg* 1999;27:168-71.

123. Sisk A, Steflik D, Parr G, Hanes P. A light and electron microscope comparison of osseointegration of six implant types. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1992;50:709-16.

124. Manzanares M, Franch J, Carvalho P, Montesdecoa J, García J, Greco Y, y cols. El papel de los tejidos calcificados en la osteointegración de los implantes metálicos. *Dentum* 2003;3:6-14.

125. Manzanares M, Calero M, Franch J, Jimenez M, Serra I. Optimisation of a scheduled study for undecalcified samples. *Mycr Anal* 1997;50:31-3.

126. Steflik D, Hanes P, Sisk A, Parr G, Song M, Lake F, y cols. Transmission electron microscopic and high voltage electron microscopic observations of the

bone and osteocyte activity to unloaded dental implants placed in dogs. *J Periodontol* 1992;63:443-52.

127. Steflik D, Parr G, Sisk A, Hanes P, Lake F. Electron microscopy of bone response to titanium cylindrical screw-type endosseous dental implants. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1992;7:497-507.

128. Novaes A, Souza S, Oliveira P, Souza A. Histomorphometric analysis of the bone-implant contact obtained with 4 different implant surface treatments placed side by side in dog mandible. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2002;17:377-83.

129. Weinlaender M, Kenney E, Lekovic V, Beumer J, Moy P, Lewis S. Histomorphometry of bone apposition around three types of endosseous dental implants. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1992;7:491-6.

130. Tresguerres I, Alobera M, Baca R, Tresguerres J. Histologic, morphometric, and densitometric study of peri-implant bone in rabbits with local administration of growth hormone. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2005;20:193-202.

131. Trisi P, Rao W, Rebaudi A. A histometric comparison of smooth and rough titanium implants in human low-density jawbone. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1999;14:689-98.

132. Hayakawa T, Kiba H, Yasuda S, Yamamoto H, Nemoto K. Valoración histológica e histomorfométrica de dos tipos de implantes de titanio recuperados en seres humanos. *Rev Int Odontol Res Periodon* 2002;6:169-75.

133. Sennerby L, Thomsen P, Ericson L. A morphometric and biomechanic comparison of titanium implants inserted in rabbit cortical and cancellous bone. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1992;7:62-71.

134. Budd T, Nagahara K, Bielat K, Meenaghan M, Schaaf N. Visualization and initial characterization of the titanium boundary of bone-implant interface of osseointegrated implants. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1992;7:151-60.

135. Gottlander M, Albrektsson T, Carlsson L. A histomorphometric study of unthreaded hydroxyapatite-coated and titanium-coated implants in rabbit bone. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1992;7:485-90.

136. Donath K, Breuner G. The study of the undecalcified bones and teeth with attached soft tissue. *Path Res Pract* 1985;179:631-3.

137. Kenward, Roger. Small sample inference for fixed effects from restricted maximum likelihood. *Biometrics* 1997;53:983-77.

138. Bania T, Blaufex B, Hughes S, Almond G, Homel P. Calcium and digoxin vs. calcium alone for severe verapamil toxicity. *Acad Emerg Med* 2000;7:1089-96.

139. Maguirre P, Fettman M, Smith M, Greco D, Turner A, Wlaton J, y cols. Effects of diet on pharmacokinetics of prenobarbital in healthy dogs. *J SAM Vet Med Assoc* 2000;15:847-52.

140. Neath P, Brown D, Hughes D, Perkowski S. The hemodynamic effects of intrathecal oxytocin in normal dogs. *Vet Surg* 2000;29:272-7.

141. Reich M, Ohad D, Overall K, Dunhan A. Electrocardiographic assessment of antianxiety medication in dogs and correlation with serum drug concentration. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 2000;15:1571-5.

142. Barnhart M, Hubbell J, Muir W, Sams R, Bednarski R. Pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, and analgesi effects of morphine after rectal, intramuscular and intravenous administration in dogs. *Am J Vet Res* 2000;61:24-8.

143. Charles D, Gennings C, Zacharewski T, Gollapudi B, Carney E. Assessment of interactions of diverse ternary mixtures in an estrogen redeptor-alpha reporter assay. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 2002;180:11-21.

144. Sevall K, Todhunter R, Vernier-Singer M, Budsberg S. Effect of polysulfated glycosaminoglycan on DNA content and proteoglycan metabolism in normal and osteoarthritic canine articular cartilage explants. *Vet Surg* 2000;29:407-14.

145. Mama K, Steffey E, Pascoe P. Evaluation of propofol as a general anesthetic for horses. *Vet Surg* 1995;24:188-94.

146. Kastner S, Von Rechenberg B, Keller K, Bettschart-Wolfensberg R. Comparison of medetomidine and dexmedetomidine as premedication in

isofluorane anaesthesia for orthopaedic surgery in domestic sheep. *J Vet Med A Physiol Pathol Clin Med* 2001;48:231-41.

147. White D, Birkle D. The differential effects of prenatal stress in rats on the acoustic startle reflex under baseline conditions and response to angiogenic drugs. *Psychopharmacol* 2001;93:1580-6.

148. Picker O, Schindler A, Scheeren T. Endogenous endothelin and vasopressin support blood pressure during epidural anaesthesia in conscious dogs. *Anesth Analg* 2001;93:1580-6.

149. Branemark P. Osseointegration and its experimental background. *J Prosthet Dent* 1983;50:399-410.

150. Editorial. European markets of dental implants 2001: Executive summary. *Impl Dent* 2002;11:7-12.

151. Cronin R. The current most pressing concerns of implant dentistry. Perspectives. *Impl Dent* 2002;11:13-5.

152. Selvig K. Discussion: Animal, models in reconstructive therapy. *J Periodontol* 1994;65:1169-72.

153. London R, Roberts F, Baker D, Rohrer M, O'Neal R. Histologic comparison of a thermal dual-etched implant surface to machined, TPS, and HA surfaces: bone contact in vivo rabbits. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2002;17:369-76.

154. Sul Y-T, Johansson C, Albrektson T. Oxidized titanium screws coated with calcium ions and their performance in rabbit bone. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2002;17:625-34.

155. Albrektsson T, Johansson C, Lundgren AK, Sul YT, Gottlow J. Experimental studies on oxidized implants. A histomorphometrical and biomechanical analysis. *Applied Osseoint Res* 2000;1:21-4.

156. Gottlow J, Henry P, Tan A, Allan B, Johansson C, Hall J. Biomechanical and histologic evaluation of the TiUnite and Osseotite implant surfaces in dogs. *Applied Osseoint Res* 2000;1:28-30.

157. Sennerby L, Thomsen P, Ericson L. Early bone tissue responses to titanium implants inserted in rabbit cortical bone. *J Mater Sci Mater Med* 1993;4:240-50.

158. Gottlow J, Johansson C, Albrektson T, Lundgren A-K. Biomechanical and histologic evaluation of the TiUnite and Osseotite implant surfaces in rabbits after 6 weeks of healing. *Applied Osseoint Res* 2000;1:25-7.

159. Glauser R, Portman M, Ruhstaller P, Lundgren A-K, Hämmerle C, Gottlow J. Stability measurements of immediately loaded machined and oxidized implants

in the posterior maxilla. A comparative clinical study using resonance frequency analysis. *Applied Osseoint Res* 2001;2:27-9.

160. Geurs N, Jeffcoat R, Mc Glumphy E, Reddy M, Jeffcoat M. Influence of implant geometry and surface characteristics on progressive osseointegration. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2002;17:811-5.

161. Zúñiga J, Tur Marí J, Milocco S, Piñeiro R. Ciencia y tecnología en protección y experimentación animal. Madrid: McGraw-Hill/ Interamericana; 2001 p 12-41.

162. Palmer J, Wollmer P. Pinhole emission computed tomography: method and experimental evaluation. *Phys Med Biol* 1990;35:339-50.

163. Takano H, Kaku T, Sano T, Maeda S, Goto K, Kaneko M. Testing performance of the improved pinhole collimator and its application to small animals. *Radioisotopes* 1988;37:456-9.

164. Kaneko M, Takano H, Hosokawa Y, Ohnishi T, Kaneko K. Scintigraphy in the small animals using a human gammacamera: Evaluación of bone scintigraphy in the rat mandible and maxilla. *Radioisotopes* 1985;34:493-6.

165. Strand S, Ivanovic M, Erlandsson K, Franceschi D, Button T, Sjogren K. Small animal imaging with pinhole single photon emission computed tomography. *Cancer* 1994;73:981-4.

166. Philippart P, Brasseur M, Hoyaux D, Pochet R. Human recombinant tissue factor, platelet rich plasma, and tetracycline induce high-quality human bone graft: A 5-year survey. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2003;18:411-6.

167. Sánchez M, Gallego I, Micó J, Luis O, Tamarit M, Sorni M, y cols. Actualización en implantología bucal. Revisión de la literatura del año 2000. *Arch Odontoestomatol* 2002;18:19-38.

168. Olivé J, Aparicio C. The Periotest method as a measure of osseointegrated oral implant stability. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1990;5:390-400.

169. Johansson C, Sennerby L, Albrektson T. A removal torque and histomorphometric study of bone tissue reactions to commercially pure titanium and vitallium implants. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1991;6:437-41.

170. Johansson C, Albrektson T. Integration of screw implants in the rabbit: A one-year follow-up of removal torque of titanium implants. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1987;2:69-75.

171. Ivanoff C, Widmark G, Johansson C, Wennerberg A. Histologic evaluation of bone response to oxidized and turned titanium micro-implants in human jawbone. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2003;18:341-8.

172. Zhu X, Kim K, Ong J, Jeong Y. Surface analysis of anodic oxide films containing phosphorus on titanium. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2002;17:331-6.

173. Kim Y, Koak J, Chang I, Wennerberg A, Heo S. A histomorphometric analysis of the effects of various surface treatment methods on osseointegration. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2003;18:349-56.