

Tesi doctoral presentada per En/Na

**Marc MANERA MIRET**

amb el títol

**"Cosmologia i Formació d'Estructures"**

per a l'obtenció del títol de Doctor/a en

CIÈNCIES FÍSiques

Barcelona, 27 de juny del 2007.

Facultat de Física  
Departament de Física Fonamental



---

# COSMOLOGIA I FORMACIÓ D'ESTRUCTURES

Memòria per a l'optenció del títol de Doctor en Ciències Físiques

Universitat de Barcelona. Departament de Física Fonamental.

Maig 2007

M. Manera

Director: Enrique Gaztañaga

---

## Agraïments

Aquesta tesi és la constatació de que els somnis són possibles, sinó tots, almenys alguns, com el meu, que ja des de petit era investigar en cosmologia. Arribar fins aquí però, no hauria estat en absolut possible sense el suport incondicional de la meva família. A ells el meu profund agraïment pel seu amor, recolzament, i comprensió. També vull donar les gràcies al meu director de tesi, que m'ha introduït en aquest món tant apassionant que és la recerca i que m'ha permès i ajudat a situar-me en la interassíssima interfície que hi ha entre les noves observacions cosmològiques de precisió i els models teòrics que es van desenvolupant. He tingut la sort també de poder treballar i col·laborar amb moltes i variades persones i aprendre molt de cadascuna d'elles. Finalment, no puc deixar també de donar gràcies a tots els meus amics i amigues, especialment els companys d'universitat i el meu grup de la parròquia, els quals molts cops han hagut de suportar el meu humor i el monotema constant de la tesi, però que sempre m'han acompanyat amb alegria i esperança. A tots vosaltres, gràcies.



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>I</b>	<b>Growth of Structure in Non-Standard Cosmologies</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>The Emergence of a new cosmological paradigm</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1	A late time accelerated universe . . . . .	9
2.2	The $\Lambda$ CDM cosmology . . . . .	11
2.3	The growth of structure in $\Lambda$ CDM model . . . . .	12
2.4	Supernovae observational support for the Concordance Model . . . . .	19
<b>3</b>	<b>Methodology for non-standard growth</b>	<b>21</b>
3.1	Growth of structure in non-standard cosmologies . . . . .	21
3.2	Cluster number counts . . . . .	23
3.2.1	Press-Schechter formalism . . . . .	24
3.2.2	Sheth and Tormen fit . . . . .	26
<b>4</b>	<b>The DGP model</b>	<b>27</b>
4.1	The Dvali Gabadadze Porrati (DGP) model . . . . .	27
4.2	Growth of structure in DGP models . . . . .	30
4.2.1	Linear Growth . . . . .	31
4.2.2	Nonlinear growth . . . . .	33
<b>5</b>	<b>The Cardassian models</b>	<b>35</b>
5.1	Cardassian models . . . . .	35
5.1.1	The original Cardassian model . . . . .	36
5.1.2	The Modified Polytropic Cardassian model . . . . .	37
5.1.3	The exponential Cardassian model . . . . .	38
5.2	Growth in the Cardassian Model . . . . .	38
5.2.1	Perturbations in the Original Cardassian model . . . . .	39
5.2.2	Second order perturbations . . . . .	41
5.2.3	Perturbations in the Modified Polytropic Cardassian model . . . . .	44
<b>6</b>	<b>The Chaplygin Gas model</b>	<b>49</b>
6.1	The Chaplygin Gas model . . . . .	49
6.1.1	The Chaplygin gas as unified dark matter candidate . . . . .	50
6.1.2	Chaplygin gas as dark energy . . . . .	51
6.2	Growth in the Chaplygin gas model . . . . .	54
6.2.1	Linear growth . . . . .	55

<b>7</b>	<b>The Coupled Quintessence model</b>	<b>61</b>
7.1	Motivation . . . . .	61
7.2	Dark Energy as a coupled quintessence field . . . . .	62
7.3	Growth of Structure . . . . .	63
7.4	The four cases . . . . .	67
7.5	Cluster number counts dependences . . . . .	68
7.5.1	Dependence on the coupling between dark matter and dark energy . . . . .	68
7.5.2	Dependence on the dark energy inhomogeneities . . . . .	73
7.6	Discriminating models with future surveys . . . . .	74
<b>8</b>	<b>Discriminating non-standard cosmological models</b>	<b>77</b>
8.1	Conclusions . . . . .	77
<b>II</b>	<b>Structure Formation through Cross-Correlating Sky Maps</b>	<b>81</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>The Integrated Sachs-Wolfe Effect</b>	<b>83</b>
9.1	The ISW Effect and the growth of structure . . . . .	83
9.2	Detecting ISW through cross-correlation of sky maps in configuration space . . . . .	84
9.3	Cross-Correlation of two sky fields in harmonic space . . . . .	89
<b>10</b>	<b>Fitting Cosmological Parameters with ISW effect</b>	<b>93</b>
10.1	Compiling Observational Data . . . . .	93
10.2	Linear growth and bias self-calibration . . . . .	96
10.3	Results of fitting ISW redshift data . . . . .	98
10.4	Robustness of the results . . . . .	100
10.4.1	Theoretical uncertainties . . . . .	100
10.4.2	Possible Contaminants . . . . .	101
10.5	Fitting the angular ISW dependence . . . . .	103
<b>11</b>	<b>Errors in Cross-correlation Measurements</b>	<b>107</b>
11.1	Theoretical Errors in configuration space (TC) . . . . .	107
11.2	Deduction of covariance matrix and errors in configuration space . . . . .	109
11.2.1	The estimator . . . . .	109
11.2.2	The covariance . . . . .	110
11.2.3	Partial sky survey . . . . .	114
11.3	Probabilities of finding pairs, triangles, and polynomials in a polar cap survey . . . . .	118
11.3.1	Probability for a $\theta$ -pair: $P(\theta)$ . . . . .	119
11.3.2	Probability for a triangle $\Delta(\psi, \theta, \phi) : P(\psi, \theta, \phi)$ . . . . .	121
11.4	Theoretical Errors in harmonic space (TH) . . . . .	123
11.4.1	TH errors with a fraction of sky area . . . . .	125
11.5	Monte Carlo Errors from simulations (MC) . . . . .	126
11.6	Jackknife Errors (JK) . . . . .	128
<b>12</b>	<b>Comparing Cross-Correlation Error estimator methods</b>	<b>131</b>
12.1	Motivation . . . . .	131
12.2	Comparison of the variance . . . . .	134
12.2.1	The fiducial model to compare with . . . . .	134
12.2.2	Results . . . . .	135
12.3	Comparison of the covariance . . . . .	139

<b>13 Cosmology with the ISW effect</b>	<b>143</b>
13.1 Summary and Conclusions . . . . .	143
<b>III Bias in Large Scale Structure</b>	<b>147</b>
<b>14 Local halo biasing</b>	<b>149</b>
14.1 Motivation . . . . .	149
14.2 Correlation functions and biasing . . . . .	150
14.2.1 Two and three point correlation functions . . . . .	150
14.2.2 The local halo bias model . . . . .	151
14.2.3 Press-Schechter and Sheth-Tormen halo model predictions . . . . .	153
14.3 The MICE intermediate simulation . . . . .	154
14.4 The Mass function . . . . .	155
14.5 Measuring halo bias by direct $\delta_m$ $\delta_h$ comparison . . . . .	156
<b>15 Halo Clustering in Simulations</b>	<b>165</b>
15.1 The variance and the skewness . . . . .	165
15.2 Two point correlation function . . . . .	167
15.3 Three point correlation functions . . . . .	169
15.4 Conclusions: Does the local bias work? . . . . .	170
15.5 Future steps: clustering in the lightcone . . . . .	170
<b>16 Conclusions</b>	<b>177</b>
<b>IV Resum de la Tesi</b>	<b>181</b>
<b>17 Introducció</b>	<b>183</b>
<b>18 El nou paradigma cosmològic i la formació d'estructura</b>	<b>185</b>
18.1 Un univers accelerant-se . . . . .	185
18.2 Cosmologia i formació d'estructures . . . . .	186
18.3 Comptatge de cúmuls . . . . .	189
18.4 El Model de Dvali Gabadadze Porrati (DGP) . . . . .	189
18.5 El Model Cardassian . . . . .	191
18.6 El model del Gas de Chaplygin . . . . .	194
18.7 El Model de Quintessència acoplat . . . . .	196
<b>19 Formació d'estructura correlacionant mapes del cel</b>	<b>199</b>
19.1 L'Efecte Sachs Wolfe Integrat (ISW) . . . . .	199
19.2 Restringint paràmetres cosmològics . . . . .	201
19.3 Calculant errors en les correlacions: mètodes . . . . .	202
19.4 Comparació d'errors en l'efecte ISW . . . . .	204
<b>20 Model de bias local</b>	<b>207</b>
20.1 Determinació del parametres $b_1$ i $c_2$ . . . . .	207
20.2 Funcions de correlació . . . . .	208
<b>21 Conclusions</b>	<b>211</b>





# List of Figures

2.1	Linear growth in the $\Lambda$ CDM model for different values of $\Omega_M$ and $\Omega_M + \Omega_\Lambda = 1$ . . .	17
2.2	Non-linear growth in the $\Lambda$ CDM model for different values of $\Omega_M$ and $\Omega_M + \Omega_\Lambda = 1$	18
2.3	Luminosity distance fits for supernovae observational data . . . . .	19
4.1	Linear growth for different values of $\Omega_R$ . . . . .	31
4.2	Value of $\sigma_8$ in DGP and Cardassian cosmologies . . . . .	32
4.3	Non-linear growth for different values of $\Omega_R$ . . . . .	33
5.1	Linear growth for different values of $n$ and $q = 1$ . . . . .	40
5.2	Non-linear growth for different values of $n$ and $q = 1$ . . . . .	41
5.3	Cluster number counts for DGP and Cardassian models . . . . .	43
5.4	Linear growth for different values of $n$ , $q = 2, 5, 10$ . . . . .	46
5.5	Nonlinear growth for different values of $n$ , $q = 2, 5, 10$ . . . . .	47
6.1	SNIa test for Chaplygin gas as dark energy . . . . .	53
6.2	Linear growth . . . . .	56
6.3	Derivative of the linear growth . . . . .	57
6.4	Non linear growth: skewness . . . . .	58
7.1	Quintessence comoving background matter density $\bar{\rho}(z)$ . . . . .	69
7.2	Evolution of $\delta_c$ with redshift . . . . .	71
7.3	Evolution of the ratio $\delta_c/\sigma_8 D$ with redshift . . . . .	71
7.4	Redshift dependence of the cluster number counts . . . . .	72
7.5	$\Lambda$ CDM cluster number counts fit to model B . . . . .	75
9.1	Redshift dependence of $W_{ISW}(z)$ for different $\Lambda$ CDM models . . . . .	86
9.2	Redshift dependence of $W_{ISW}$ for flat $\Lambda$ CDM models . . . . .	88
9.3	$W_{ISW}$ dependence on redshift for constant equation of state models . . . . .	88
9.4	$C_{TG}(l)$ for the $\Lambda$ CDM models . . . . .	91
10.1	Compiled observed $w_{TG}(z)$ data for different surveys . . . . .	96
10.2	Constraints on $(\Omega_m, \Omega_\Lambda)$ plane from ISW data . . . . .	98
10.3	Constraints on $(\Omega_\Lambda, w)$ plane from ISW data . . . . .	100
10.4	Example of two different galaxy selection functions . . . . .	101
10.5	Dependence of $(\Omega_m, \Omega_\Lambda)$ constraints on the galaxy selection function . . . . .	102
10.6	WMAP3 SDSS4 angular cross-correlation measurement . . . . .	103
10.7	Probability distribution for $\Omega_\Lambda$ from WMAP3-SDSS cross-correlation . . . . .	104
11.1	Representation of the $w_{AB}$ estimator . . . . .	109

---

11.2	Writing four point moment in terms of connected parts. . . . .	111
11.3	Variables for integrating $C_{ij}$ . . . . .	113
11.4	Small angle approximation for $f_{sky} = 0.1$ . . . . .	118
11.5	Geometry for $P(\theta)$ determination . . . . .	119
11.6	Intersection representation for $P(\theta)$ . . . . .	120
11.7	Geometry for $P(\psi, \theta, \phi)$ determination . . . . .	121
11.8	Intersection area of three spherical circles . . . . .	122
11.9	Errors in $C_\ell$ space; comparison between TH and MC approaches . . . . .	125
12.1	$w_{TG}$ error estimated with different methods . . . . .	136
12.2	Relative error for two $\Lambda$ CDM models: $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$ and $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.8$ . . . . .	136
12.3	Error as a function of $f_{sky}$ with different methods . . . . .	137
12.4	Dispersion of the error with different methods . . . . .	138
12.5	Normalized covariances in real space for different methods . . . . .	140
12.6	Eigenvectors for all and 10% of the sky for different methods . . . . .	141
12.7	$\chi^2$ contours from the different methods . . . . .	142
14.1	Halo mass function from MICE simulation . . . . .	155
14.2	Scatter ( $\delta_h, \delta_m$ ) plots for different smoothing radius . . . . .	158
14.3	Dependence of $b_1$ on the Gaussian smoothing radius . . . . .	159
14.4	Dependence of $c_2$ on the Gaussian smoothing radius . . . . .	160
14.5	Scatter plots of $\delta_h$ - $\delta_m$ relation at $z=0$ . . . . .	161
14.6	Scatter plots of $\delta_h$ - $\delta_m$ relation at $z=0.5$ . . . . .	162
14.7	Dependence of $b_1$ and $c_2$ on the halo mass . . . . .	163
15.1	Comparison of $b_1$ and $c_2$ clustering and local values . . . . .	172
15.2	Two point correlation function for halos in the simulation . . . . .	173
15.3	Hierarchical relation $Q_3$ from matter and halos at $z=0$ . . . . .	174
15.4	Hierarchical relation $Q_3$ from matter and halos at $z=0.5$ . . . . .	175
18.1	Creixement lineal en el model DGP . . . . .	191
18.2	Creixement lineal d'estructura pel mdel Cardassian . . . . .	192
18.3	Comptatge de cúmuls pels models DGP i Cardassian . . . . .	193
18.4	Creixement lineal pel Gas de Chaplygin . . . . .	195
18.5	Comptatge de cúmuls en el model de Quintessència . . . . .	197
19.1	Contorns al pla ( $\Omega_m, \Omega_\Lambda$ ) amb l'ISW . . . . .	202
19.2	Comparació d'errors entre mètodes . . . . .	205
20.1	Funció de correlació de 2 punts i bias local de la simulacio MICE a $z=0$ . . . . .	210

---



# Chapter 1

## Introduction

The structure formation in the universe starts with a very tiny fluctuations in an almost homogeneous density field. As the universe expands, these inhomogeneities will grow through gravitational instability and end up forming galaxies, clusters of galaxies, and the very nice large scale structure that we see today in big surveys, like the Sloan Digital Sky Survey. The structure formation is intimately related to the expansion of the universe. Different cosmological models will give different expansion histories, and, consequently, different growth of structure. And, even if the expansion is the same, the growth of structure does not need to be. It is clear then, that if data are good enough, the growth of structure can be a very useful tool to discriminate between cosmological models. Or, in case that from theoretical backgrounds we have already chosen one model, it can also be used to constrain its parameters.

In the first part of this thesis we will look at several non-standard cosmological models. These models have been introduced in the literature mainly to explain the accelerated expansion that we see the universe is currently undertaken. We want to study if they can also reproduce the observations of the large scale structure and its evolution. For doing so we will first introduce a formalism to compute the growth of structure in non-standard cosmologies. Then, for each of these non-standard models, we will focus in computing observables like the linear growth, the skewness, or the cluster number counts. These will ultimately allow us to constrain the cosmological parameters of the model or evaluate if it has to be ruled out.

Cosmology is currently entering a gold unprecedented precision era for which a lot of observational

---

data will be (or is) available to be analysed. We can study the large scale structure of the universe and its evolution by performing count in cells, and n-point correlation functions of the data. The results of these measurements are important, but it is also very important to understand their errors well if we want to constrain cosmological parameters.

In the second part of this thesis we will look at the cross-correlation between CMB-temperature maps and galaxy (or other dark matter tracers ) surveys maps. This cross-correlation is a way to measure the Integrated Sachs Wolfe (ISW) effect, which can be directly related to the growth of structure. We will use the ISW signal dependence in redshift and in angular scale to constrain  $\Omega_\Lambda$  and the equation of state parameter  $w$ . In this part of the thesis we will also focus on studying different error estimators for the sky maps cross-correlation measurements. We will actually present a new method, which estimate the errors in the configuration space. This method will be compared with other error estimators widely used in the literature: the Jack-knife, the Monte Carlo errors and the theoretical harmonic space errors.

The problem of bias. In order to compare models to observations we need to address a crucial point: does light trace mass? In other words: how well the structure we see traces the dark matter we can't see?. Are the observations biased tracers of the mass?. This is a key point to be able to compare models of growth of structure with observations in the real world. Unfortunately in detail, this requires understanding how star's and galaxies form and shine.

As the the universe expands, the dark matter clumps into halos and filamentary structure. This can be very clearly seen in dark matter cosmological simulations, which allow us to study the gravitational clustering separately from other (but also interesting) physical effects. Like galaxies, halos are not perfect traces of the underlying dark matter fluctuations, but biased ones. In fact, we believe that galaxies form inside dark matter halos, so that understanding halo bias is the first step towards understanding galaxy bias. In part three of this thesis we will use a cosmological dark matter simulation to study the halo clustering and bias. More specifically, we wonder to what extend the local bias model could be applied to the predictions and analyses of the two and three point halo correlation functions.

The thesis ends up by summarising, in a final chapter, the main achievements and conclusions. Curiously enough however, after the conclusions, comes the part four of this document. It is in fact a large summary of the thesis in catalan, one of the two official languages of the University of

Barcelona.

---