Paper III

Permeation of Iridium(IV) and Metal Impurity Chlorocomplexes through a Supported Liquid Membrane Designed for Rhodium Separation

SEYED N. ASHRAFIZADEH, GEORGE P. DEMOPOULOS[*]

Department of Mining and Metallurgical Engineering

McGill University

Montreal, Quebec H3A 2A7, Canada

MIQUEL ROVIRA, ANA M. SASTRE

Department of Chemical Engineering

Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

E.T.S.E.I.B., Barcelona, CP 08028, Spain

Short Title: Metal Transport through SLM of Kelex 100

[*] To whom correspondence should be addressed.

1

ABSTRACT

A Supported Liquid Membrane (SLM) system previously designed for Rh separation has been examined for its capability to reject the metal impurities which are commonly encountered in industrial Rh chloride solutions. Special attention was paid to Ir(IV) chlorocomplexes and their extraction/transport behaviour against both conventional Solvent Extraction and Supported Liquid Membrane systems of Kelex 100. A lab-scale SLM cell with an effective membrane area of 44 cm^2 was used to conduct the SLM permeation tests. The SLM was composed of a Gore-Tex polymer substrate impregnated with an organic solution of Kelex 100, tridecanol, and kerosene.

The impurities tested (in addition to Ir(IV)) were: Ag(I), As(V), Bi(III), Cd(II), Co(II), Cu(II), Fe(III), Ni(II), Pb(II), Pd(II), Pt(IV), Se(IV), Te(IV), Zn(II). These impurities based on their response against the SLM were classified into three groups, i.e., those permeated through (Zn(II), Pb(II), Cd(II), Bi(III), Te(IV) and Ir(IV)), those non-permeated at all (Ni(II), Co(II), As(V), Se(IV), Cu(II) and Fe(III)), and those blocking the membrane (Pt(IV), Pd(III), Ag(I), Pb(II) and Bi(III)). The SLM was not capable of discriminating between Rh(III) and Ir(IV) transport at the optimum operating conditions. Complementary up-stream and down-stream processes are required to separate the impurities from the feed and the product solutions, respectively. Overall this work revealed the great limitations of SLMs as effective and potentially useful separation media for the extraction of metals from industrial-like multi-component aqueous feed solutions.

INTRODUCTION

Platinum group metals (PGM) are recovered as by-produce from sulphide matte leach residue or smelted anode slime residue in Cu/Ni refineries and smelters (1). As a consequence of that, the rhodium (Rh) feed solutions encountered in the precious metal refining industry always contain substantial amounts of various metal species as impurities. In a previous work, the promising features of a developed SLM of Kelex 100 (an alkylated 8-hydroxyquinoline) for the separation/refining of Rh was reported (2). As an extension of that project, an investigation was also conducted on the transport behaviour of metal impurities through the same SLM. The latter, which is the subject of this communication, is of importance from three main standpoints. First, prior to development of a SLM process flowsheet for Rh recovery it is necessary to evaluate the potential problems which might be encountered in the operation of the SLM due to the presence of impurities and hence devise appropriate techniques to face those problems. Second, the separation of rhodium from iridium remains always an important issue in the PGM refining industry (3). Third, despite the large number of papers published during the last 10-15 years in the area of SLM separation of metals hardly any of these works have commented or reported on the behaviour of impurities. Almost all previous studies involved a single metal (the metal targeted for recovery) - a highly idealized situation from an industrial application point of view. For the above reasons, it was deemed appropriate to investigate the transport behaviour of a series of metal impurities, that potentially can be found in industrial Rh feedstocks, against the developed SLM of Kelex 100 for Rh separation (2).

The Rh feed impurities can be readily classified into three groups, i.e., base metals (Cu, Fe, Co, Cd, Ni, Zn, Pb, Sn), metalloids (As, Sb, Bi, Se, Te), and precious (Ag and PGMs) (Ag, Pt, Pd, Ir, Ru, Os). Due to the similarity between the SLM process and the SX process (in effect SLM is a combination of solvent extraction and solvent stripping), it is worthy to relate the present work to previous works involving SX of these elements with 8-hydroxyquinoline (8-HQ) type extractants. In this regard, the solution chemistry (speciation and oxidation states) and solvent extraction behaviour of most of these elements was critically reviewed recently from the standpoint of PGM refining by Aprahamian and Demopoulos (4). Moreover the same

researchers have studied the distribution and scrubbing of these elements from a solvent similar to Kelex 100 used here, i.e. TN 1911 (5). Hence this previous SX work was used as reference for the design of the SLM experiments and the interpretation of the obtained results.

EXPERIMENTAL

The chloride-based aqueous solutions of metals were prepared by dissolving analytical grade chloride reagents in HCl solutions of desirable acidity. Platinum and palladium solutions were produced from pure metal powder via *aqua-regia* digestion followed by evaporation to dryness and redissolution in HCl. Iridium(IV) solutions were prepared by dissolving Ir(IV) chloride hydrate in concentrated chloride solutions while heating the solution at 60°C for a duration of 1 hour. In order to maintain the oxidation state of iridium(IV), 5 v/o of 0.3% chlorine water was added and the solution was left aside for about 2 hours to cool. The presence of Ir(IV) species was examined via UV absorption spectroscopy using a UV-240 UV-Visible Spectrometer from Shimadzu. The detection of 4 absorption bands at about 305, 420, 435, and 485 nm confirmed its existence (6).

Kelex 100 supplied by WITCO, kerosene from Fluka, and tridecanol from Rhone-Poulenc were used as extractant, diluent, and modifier, respectively, throughout this work to prepare the organic solutions for both SX and SLM experiments. Details on extractant characterization and purification, the adopted SX and SLM experimental procedures and the SLM apparatus are reported in previous publications (2,7). The membrane support (GORE-TEX) used had an effective area of 44 cm², pore size of 0.45 μm, thickness of 57μm and porosity of 75.9%. In most of the SLM experiments the feed and strip solutions were 2.5 M HCl and 0.1 M HCl, respectively (the optimum conditions for the permeation of rhodium) (2). The volume ratio of the feed to strip solution was 200 mL: 20 mL respectively. The SLM experiments were carried out only with single metal solutions and the initial metal concentration was 3.89×10^{-3} M except for copper for which higher metal concentrations were used. Silver concentration was also lower than the norm due to the low solubility of this metal in aqueous chloride media. The duration of each SLM experiment was two hours.

The metal concentration in the feed and product (strip) solutions was measured using standard atomic absorption spectroscopy (AA) with a spectrophotometer Model 357 from Instrumental Laboratory Inc. The iridium concentration, however, was measured by induced coupled plasma (ICP) spectroscopy using a spectroflame from Spectro Analytical Instruments. The iridium was detected at 224.268 nm using argon of 99.99 purity with an incident plasma of 1200 W.

SPECIATION OF METAL IMPURITIES AND EXTRACTION WITH 8-HQ

Many of the metal elements readily form chlorocomplexes once in chloride solutions. Therefore a good knowledge of the aqueous chlorochemistry of the various impurity elements under investigation is of vital importance. Regarding the optimum conditions for the feed and strip solutions in the SLM system of Rh (i.e., 2-3 M HCl feed and 0.1-0.3 M HCl strip, respectively (2)), it was deemed appropriate to list the most probable complexes of these elements in Table 1 for quick reference.

8-hydroxyquinolines derivatives, like Kelex 100 may extract metal species via either compound formation (chelates) or via ion-pair formation involving the protonated form of 8-HQ. Thus it has been found, for example that 8-hydroxyquinoline derivatives undergo protonation in HCl media (reaction (1)) (8) and extract Pd(II) via chelation (reaction (2))(9) and Pt(IV) (reaction (3))(10) and Rh(III) (reaction (4))(11) via ion-pair formation:

$$HQ_{(org)} + HCl \rightarrow H_2Q^+ Cl_{(org)} \tag{1}$$

$$PdCl_4^{2-} + 2H_2Q^+ Cl^-_{(org)} \rightarrow PdQ_{2(org)} + 4H^+ + 6Cl^- \tag{2}$$

$$PtCl_6^{2-} + 2H_2Q^+ Cl^-_{(org)} \rightarrow PtCl_6^{2-} (H_2Q_2^+)_{2(org)} + 2Cl^- \tag{3}$$

$$RhCl_6^{3-} + 3H_2Q^+ Cl^-_{(org)} \rightarrow RhCl_6 (H_2Q^+)_{3(org)} + 3Cl^- \tag{4}$$

Hence those of the elements forming anionic chlorocomplexes (see Table 1) or chelates may potentially permeate through the SLM of Kelex 100.

The distribution of the various metals in the system HCl-8-HQ is given in Figures 1 to 3. These distribution data can aid in interpreting the subsequent SLM permeation results reported in this paper.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Solvent Extraction of Iridium(IV) with Kelex 100

The extraction behaviour of Ir(IV) vis-a-vis 8-hydroxyquinolines reagents, like Kelex 100, has not been examined before hence the need to examine the conventional SX system before the SLM study of it is attempted.

Aging is known to play a critical role in the extraction of Rh(III) chlorocomplexes (7) thus first the effect of aging on Ir(IV) extraction was studied. To this end aqueous solutions of variable age (up to 2 weeks) containing 3 M HCl and 50 ppm Ir(IV) were contacted with organic solutions of Kelex 100 (1 v/o Kelex 100, 4 v/o tridecanol, kerosene). The results which are not presented for space economy here were found to exhibit only a minor decrease in terms of % extraction with solution age. (Percent extraction dropped from 45% to 40% after 1 day aging with no further decrease thereafter). Apparently under the applied conditions (3M HCl), the oxidation state of iridium had not been altered and hence significant aquation/aging, as it is encountered with the chlorocomplexes of iridium(III) (12) or rhodium(III) (7) did not occur. The latter notion was further verified with the recording of UV-Visible spectroscope which showed similar absorption bands (305,420,435 and 485 nm) for the aged solutions as reported previously for fresh Ir(IV) solutions (6).

The extraction of Ir(IV) from aged chloride solutions versus feed acidity (HCl) is depicted in Figure 1. As shown, a very similar trend with that of rhodium chlorocomplexes is obtained (7). In other words, at low acidities the extraction is very low while it reaches a maximum at about 2.5 M of acidity and subsequently decreases with acidity of the feed solution. The low extraction at the lower range of acidity may be attributed mainly to the incomplete protonation of the extractant (see reaction (1)). An additional contribution factor, but less likely, may be the

6

reduction of Ir(IV) to Ir(III) due to the low chloride concentration. On the other hand, the decrease in the extraction at higher acidities is due to the shift of the ion-pair extraction reaction (5) to left with the increasing concentration of chloride ions (10,11):

$$IrCl_6^{2-} + 2H_2Q^+Cl^-_{(org)} \leftrightarrow IrCl_6^{2-} (H_2Q^+)_{2(org)} + 2Cl^- \qquad (5)$$

The effect of alcohol (Fig. 4) and extractant concentrations (data not shown) on the extraction of Ir(IV) were also investigated. While extraction increased with increased extractant concentration as it would be expected (the same happened with Rh(III) too), however the dramatic negative effect of alcohol was distinctly different from that (only a modest one) registered with the rhodium extraction system (7). This might have to do (in addition to interfacial factors) with possible catalysis of the reduction of Ir(IV) to Ir(III). Further work is needed to elaborate these phenomena.

Permeation Behaviour of Impurities Through SLM

The permeation behaviour of the various impurities (single metal solutions of 3.9×10^{-3} M initial concentration) was investigated under at the optimum conditions for Rh permeation that had been established earlier (2), i.e., support 0.45 μm Gore-Tex; LM: 25 v/o Kelex 100; feed: 2.5 M HCl, strip: 0.1 HCl. The elements studied were found either not to extract at all or to permeate (partially or completely) through the membrane and contaminate the receiving phase; some of the impurities were found on the other hand to "accumulate" or form a precipitate on the membrane resulting in blockage of the latter.

The percent extraction (defined as the percentage of metal concentration drop in the feed solution after 2 hours operation of the SLM), percent stripping (defined as the percentage of the metal initially in the feed solution that reports in the strip solution), and percent loading (defined as the percentage of the initial metal retained ("locked") on the membrane) results are presented in Figures 5 to 7. Finally the ratios of the rate of transport of each impurity (defined as the number of moles of metal reported to the receiving phase per m^2 of membrane per second) in

7

comparison to that of rhodium are summarized in Figure 8. These ratios serve as a measure of the contamination of the Rh strip solution by each impurity element. The SLM behaviour of all these elements is discussed next according to the following groupings: base metals; metalloids; and precious metals.

a. Base Metals

Cobalt and nickel were found not to permeate to any measurable degree through the SLM apparently because of their low tendency to form anionic complexes at 2.5 M HCl (see Table 1).

Cadmium and zinc were found to permeate substantially through the SLM and become extracted into the receiving phase (Figures 5 and 6). It may be postulated that the extracted species according to the data of Table 1 are: $CdCl_3^-$ and $ZnCl_3^-/ZnCl_4^{2-}$. Due to the favourable conditions in both feed and receiving phases, the metal anionic complexes form ion-pairs at the feed-SLM interface while at the strip-SLM interface the ion-pairs become dissociated and release the permeated metal species. The rate of transport for these metals is about two to three times faster than that for rhodium (Fig. 8).

Lead, similar to Cd(III) and Zn(II) was found to substantially permeate through the membrane (see Figs. 5 and 6) but this time a great amount of it was found to accumulate on the membrane (Fig. 7). The permeation of Pb(II) apparently involves the extraction of the anionic species $PbCl_3^-/PbCl_4^{2-}$ (see Table 1) via ion-pair formation. On the other hand the accumulation of Pb(II) on the membrane is attributed to the limited solubility of $PbCl_2$ especially at the membrane/strip interface where the chloride ion concentration is low.

The permeation of Cu(II) and Fe(III) at the fixed conditions tested was very low about 100 times lower than that of rhodium. Copper (II) (and to a lesser extent Fe(III)) is known to be extracted with Kelex 100 via chelation from low acid solutions (< 0.2 M HCl) and to be stripped with strong acid solutions. Therefore, the applied conditions in the present SLM system (high acid as feed and low acid as strip) did not favour the extraction of copper.

Nevertheless, the slowly extracted copper values (and Fe(III)) with time may lead to accumulation on the SLM (due to lack of stripping power to permit their transfer into the receiving phase) thus potentially resulting in membrane blockage.

b. Metalloids

Arsenic(V) and selenium(IV) exhibited very low rates of permeation perhaps due to negligible dissociation of H_3AsO_4 and H_2SeO_3 acids.

On the other hand, Bi(III) and Te(IV) exhibited very high rates of permeations (Figures 5 and 6). Similar to the previous cases, the mechanism of extraction is presumably through ion-pair formation between the protonated Kelex 100 molecules and the anionic $BiCl_6^{3-}$ and $TeOCl_3^-$ species (see Table 1). Bi(III) in addition to high permeation exhibited a tendency for accumulation on the membrane (see Fig. 7). This may be partly due to hydrolysis of $BiCl_{3(aq)}$ to $BiOCl_{(s)}$ at the membrane/strip interface due to the low acidity of the latter phase (13).

c. Precious Metals

Due to low solubility of Ag(I) in chloride media, the permeation of this element was studied at much lower concentrations than the other metals, $[Ag] = 2.8 \times 10^{-4}$ M. Silver (I) forms anionic chlorocomplexes (Table 1) which are extracted by 8-hydroxyquinoline reagents via ion-pair formation in conventional SX systems (Fig. 2). The same behaviour was exhibited with the SLM system (Fig. 7). However most of the extracted silver was found to accumulate on the membrane (Fig. 8) due to the apparent precipitation of AgCl at the membrane/strip interface.

The net rate of transport of palladium was low in comparison to that of rhodium (Fig. 8). However, what happened in this case was that the membrane became saturated with the metal (Fig. 7) due to good extraction (Fig. 5) and bad stripping (Fig. 6). This is so because palladium (II) is extracted via chelation (9)(see reaction (2)) from HCl solutions. Due to the low acid strength of the receiving phase, stripping was not effective hence the accumulation of Pd(II) on

the SLM. Replacement of the 0.1 M HCl strip phase with more concentrated HCl solutions did indeed allow for the uninhibited permeation of Pd(II) (Figure 9).

Platinum despite the favourable extraction and stripping conditions (see reaction 3) (10) did not register a high permeation rate (Fig. 8) due to the formation of a precipitate on the membrane. Increasing the platinum concentration in the feed solution resulted in worsening the problem with the formation of a large amount of precipitate on the membrane that was clearly visible. The formation of such precipitate was observed previously in conventional SX tests by Pouskouleli and Demopoulos (14). The use of other than Kelex 100 8-hydroxyquinoline derivatives, like Lix 26 (15) or TN 1911 (10,16), are needed to overcome this problem. From the standpoint of Rh(III) recovery with a Kelex 100 SLM, however, Pt(IV) and Pd(II) are expected to cause problems due to membrane blockage.

The permeation behaviour of Ir(IV) was found to be very similar to that of Rh(III) (2). Figures 10 and 11 show the rate of Ir(IV) permeation versus feed and strip acidity, respectively. As shown, the permeation rate exhibited a plateau at about 2.5 M HCl in the feed solution (Figure 10) while the rate decreased sharply with increasing strip acidity (Figure 11). Similar to Rh (2), the rate of iridium permeation is also a direct function of the metal feed concentration and linearly increases with the Ir concentration in the feed solution (Figure 12). The observed SLM behaviour of Ir(IV) is consistent with the postulated ion-pair extraction mechanism (reaction 15). Similar behaviour was reported by Fu and co-workers (6) for the $IrCl_6^{2-}$ - HCl-Trioctylamine SLM system. Hence separation of Rh(III) from Ir(IV) is not possible with the Kelex 100 SLM system and it may be extrapolated to be the same with the trioctylamine system (6,17). It remains to be seen if prior reduction of Ir(IV) to Ir(III) as practised in the refining industry (3) can facilitate separation of the two metals. The origin of the observed accumulation of Ir(IV) on the membrane (Fig. 7) and the implications this may have on rhodium permeation was not clarified or studied further.

Practical Implications

The various impurity elements tested in this work can be classified into three groups (Table 2) according to their particular behaviour vis-a-vis the Kelex 100 SLM system previously designed for rhodium(III) recovery from chloride solutions. In group 1 the elements that expected to be least extracted or interfere with the operation of the SLM system are listed. In group 2 the elements which end-up loading up partially or completely on the membrane are listed. These elements either form unstrippable complexes or precipitates and block the membrane. In group 3 the elements that permeate considerably through the SLM and report to significant level in the receiving phase are listed. These elements are expected to follow rhodium and hence to lower the purity of the final rhodium product.

These results demonstrate the serious limitations of supported liquid membranes as effective separation means for metals from multi-component solutions as are the PGM refining liquors. Hence there is a need for researchers to consider on one hand more than one metal in their studies and on the other to pursue novel engineering approaches as to the design and operation of SLM systems.

The impurities of group 3 may in principle be rejected via the interfacing of a downstream solvent extraction system incorporating scrubbing to effect the separation of rhodium. Such an interfacing of a SX system to a SLM system was proposed in a recent paper in connection to the SLM separation of Rh(III) with Kelex 100 (17). The SX system involved the use of trioctylamine to extract rhodium and acid from the SLM receiving phase. Nevertheless such system still will not be able to separate rhodium from iridium.

As far as the present system is concerned the following suggestions are made to remove the problems imposed by the impurities of groups 2 and 3. For the impurities of group 2 modified SLM contactors will have to be employed or designed such as liquid film pertraction (18) or 3-stream (feed/organic/strip) (as opposed to two streams) solvent extraction with immobilized interfaces (19).

CONCLUSIONS

The permeation behaviour of a number of potential impurity elements in a SLM system (Kelex 100) previously proposed for the separation of rhodium was studied and the impurities were classified into three groups. Group 1, impurities (Ni(II), Co(II), As(V), Se(IV), Cu(II) and Fe(III)) do not permeate through the SLM hence impose no problem on rhodium separation. Group 2 impurities (Pt(IV), Pd(II), Ag(I), Pb(II) and Bi(III)), do not permeate through the SLM but introduce serious problems in the operation of SLM such as fouling and blocking. Group 3 impurities (Zn(II), Pb(II), Cd(II), Bi(III), Te(IV), and Ir(IV)) permeate through the SLM along with Rh values and become accumulated in the SLM receiving phase. From the latter, the permeation of Ir(IV) occurs at comparable rates to that of Rh(III). That makes a Kelex 100-based SLM unsuitable as a means for the separation of Rh and Ir. On the other hand this work revealed the great limitations of the SLM method when it comes to selective extraction of metals from multi-component aqueous feed solutions as is the case of industrial PGM refining liquors.

REFERENCES

1. Demopoulos, G.P., CIM Bulletin, 82(923), 165 (1989).

2. Ashrafizadeh, S.N., Demopoulos, G.P., Sep. Sci. Technol., 31(7), 895 (1996).

3. Grant, R.A., Burnham, R.F., Collard, S., The High Efficiency Separation of Iridium from Rhodium by Solvent Extraction Using a Mono N-substituted Amide, in Solvent Extraction 1990, Proceedings ISEC'90, T. Sekine (ed.), Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, Part A, 961-966, 1992.

4.   Aprahamian, V.H., and Demopoulos, G.P., Mineral Processing and Extractive Metallurgy Review, 14, 143 (1995).

5.   Aprahamian, V.H., Demopoulos, G.P. and Harris, G.B., The Behaviour of Impurities during the Solvent Extraction of Platinum Metals with TN 1911, in Precious Metals 1991, L. Manziek (ed.), IPMI, Allentown, Pa, 143-157, 1991.

6.   Fu, J., Nakamura, S., and Akiba, K., Sep. Sci. Technol., 30(20), 3821 (1995).

7.   Ashrafizadeh, S.N., and Demopoulos, G.P., J. Colloid Interface Sci., 173, 448 (1995).

8.   Coté, B. and Demopoulos, G.P., Solv. Extr. Ion Exch., 11, 349 (1993).

9.   Coté, B., and Demopoulos, G.P., Solv. Extr. Ion Exch., 12(2), 393 (1994).

10.   Coté, B., and Demopoulos, G.P., Solv. Extr. Ion Exch., 12(3), 517-540, 1994.

11.   Benguerel, E., Demopoulos, G.P., Coté, G. and Bauer, D., Solv. Extr. Ion Exch., 12(3), 497 (1994).

12.   Fine, D.A., J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 32, 2731 (1970).

13.   Cotton, F.A. and Wilkinson, G., "Advanced Inorganic Chemistry", Interscience Publishers, New York, p. 448 (1980).

14.   Pouskouleli, G. and Demopoulos, G.P., Direct Recovery of Precious Metals by Integration of Solvent Extraction and Hydrogen Reduction Techniques, in Precious Metals 1984, T.P. Mohide (Ed.), IPMI, Allentown, PA, 189-207, 1985.

15.   Pouskouleli, G., Kelebek, S. and Demopoulos, G.P., "Recovery and Separation of Platinum and Palladium by Co-extraction and Selective Stripping, in Separation Processes in Hydrometallurgy, G.A. Davies (ed.), Ellis Horwood, Chichester, U.K., 174-188, 1987.

16.   Demopoulos, G.P., Kuyucak, N., Gefvert, D.L. and Richler, M.H., TN 1911: A New Hydroxyquinoline Extractant for the Refining of Platinum Metals, in Precious Metals 1989, B. Harris (ed.), IPMI, Allentown, Pa, 201-215, 1989.

17.   Ashrafizadeh, S.N. and Demopoulos, G.P., J. Chem. Tech. Biotechnol., 67, 367-375, 1996.

18.   Boyadzhiev, L., Sep. Sci. Technol., 25(3), 187-205, 1990.

19.   Prasad, R., Kiani, A., Bhave, R.R., and Sirkar, K.K., J. Membrane Sci., 26, 79-97, 1986.

13

Table 1: Summary of Most Probable Metal Complexes Expected in HCl SCM Feed and Strip Solutions

(most of data extracted from Aprahamian and Demopoulos (4))

M_{aq}	1.0-3.0 M HCl (analogous to feed)	0.1-1.0 M HCl (analogous to strip)
Fe(III)	$FeCl_2^+$, $FeCl_3^0$	Fe^{3+}, $FeCl^{2+}$, $FeCl_2^+$
Cu(II)	$CuCl^+$, $CuCl_2^0$, $CuCl_3^-$	Cu^{2+}, $CuCl^+$
Ni(II)	$NiCl^+$	Ni^{2+}
Zn(II)	$ZnCl_2^0$, $ZnCl_3^-$, $ZnCl_4^{2-}$	Zn^{2+}
Pb(II)	$PbCl_2^0$, $PbCl_3^-$, $PbCl_4^{2-}$	Pb^{2+}, $PbCl^+$
Co(II)	$CoCl_2^0$	Co^{2+}, $CoCl^+$
Cd(II)	$CdCl_3^-$	$CdCl^+$, $CdCl_2^0$
As(V)	H_3AsO_4	H_3AsO_4
Bi(III)	$BiCl_6^{3-}$	$BiCl_3^0$, $BiCl_4^-$, $BiCl_5^{2-}$
Se(IV)	H_2SeO_3	H_2SeO_3
Te(IV)	$TeOCl_2$, $TeOCl_3^-$	TeO^{2+}, $TeOCl_2^0$
Ag(I)	$AgCl_3^{2-}$	$AgCl_2^-$
Pt(IV)	$PtCl_6^{2-}$	$PtCl_6^{2-}$
Pd(II)	$PdCl_4^{2-}$	$PdCl_4^{2-}$
Ir(IV)	$IrCl_6^{2-}$	$IrCl_6^{2-}$

Table 2: Classification of Impurity Elements According to their Permeation Behaviour through a Kelex 100 SLM Designed for Rhodium Separation

M_{aq}	Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	Comments
Fe(III)	X			Potential for long-term "poisoning" of the membrane
Cu(II)	X			
Ni(II)	X			
Zn(II)			X	Formation of $PbCl_2$ precipitate
Pb(II)		X	X	
Co(II)	X			
Cd(II)			X	
As(V)	X			
Bi(III)		X	X	
Se(IV)	X			
Te(IV)			X	
Ag(I)		X		AgCl precipitate on the membrane
Pt(IV)		X		Pt(IV)-Kelex 100 complex insoluble
Pd(II)		X		Pd-Kelex chelate forms
Ir(IV)		X?	X	Origin of Ir(IV) accumulation not verified

Group 1: Impurities with little or nil permeation capacity
Group 2: Impurities which accumulate on the membrane or form precipitates
Group 3: Impurities which permeate through the membrane at a rate comparable or higher than that of rhodium

FIGURE 1. The Distribution of PGM in 8-Hydroxyquinoline Extractants as a Function of Feed Acidity (O: 5 v/o TN 1911 (Pt(IV) and Pd(II)) or 5 v/o Kelex 100 ((Rh(III) and Ir(IV)), 10 v/o tridecanol, Solvesso 150 (Pt(IV) and Pd(II)) or Kerosene (Rh(III) and Ir(IV)) $[M]_{initial}$ = 500 ± 100 ppm; except [Ir] = 250 applied solutions and single metal loading; A/O = 1, 3 min. contact time; Rh(III) data from ref. 7).

FIGURE 2. The Distribution of Silver and Base Metals in 8-Hydroxyquinoline Extractants as a Function of Feed Acidity (O: 5 v/o TN 1911, 10 v/o isodecanol, Solvesso 150; $[M]_{initial} = 1000 \pm 200$ ppm; except $[Ag]_{initial} = 100 + 50$ ppm single metal loading, 3 min. contact time; A/O = 1) (data from ref. 4).

FIGURE 3. The Distribution of Metalloids in 8-Hydroxyquinoline Extractants as a Function of Feed Acidity (O: 5 v/o TN 1911, 10 v/o isodecanol, Solvesso 150; $[M]_{initial}=1000 \pm 200$ ppm; single metal loading; A/O = 4; 3 min. contact time) (data from ref. 4).

FIGURE 4. Effect of Alcohol Concentration on Extraction of Ir(IV) (O:1 v/o Kelex 100 x v/o tridecanol, Kerosene; $[M]_{initial}$ = 50 ppm, 3.1 M HCl; single metal loading; 3 min contact time A/O = 1).

FIGURE 5. Percent Extraction of Metals from the SLM Feed Solution Feed (Conditions): 2.5 M HCl, $3.89 \cdot 10^{-3} \pm 6 \cdot 10^{-4}$ M of metal except $6.55 \cdot 10^{-3}$ M for Cu(II) and $2.8 \cdot 10^{-4}$ M for Ag(I); Strip: 0.1 M HCl; SLM: 25 v/o Kelex 100; 2 hours operation).

FIGURE 6. Percent of Metals reported to the SLM Strip Solution (Conditions: same as in Fig. 5).

FIGURE 7. Percent of Metals Reported (Loaded) on the SLM. (Conditions: same as in Fig. 5).

FIGURE 8. Comparison of the net Rate of Transport (fraction of metal reporting to the receiving phase) of the Various Elements to that of Rh where J(Rh) = 1 corresponds to 12% stripping in 2 hours or in absolute values is 1.5×10^{-7} mol $\cdot$ m^{-2} $\cdot$ s^{-1}).

FIGURE 9. Effect of Strip Acidity on Rate of Pd(II) Permeation. (Conditions: same as in Fig. 5 with exception of metal concentration: 4.42×10^{-4} M Pd).

FIGURE 10. Effect of Feed Acidity on Rate of Ir(IV) Permeation. (Conditions. Feed: $1.04 \cdot 10^{-3}$ M Ir(IV); other conditions as per Fig. 5).

FIGURE 11. Effect of Strip Acidity on Rate of Ir(IV) Permeation. (Conditions: same as in Fig. 10).

FIGURE 12. Effect of Feed Ir(IV) Concentration on Rate of Ir(IV) Permeation. (Conditions: same as in Fig. 10).