



Departament de Teoria
del Senyal i Comunicacions



UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE CATALUNYA

Empirical Determination of the Sea Surface Emissivity at L-band: A contribution to ESA's SMOS Earth Explorer Mission

PhD Dissertation by

Ramón María Villarino Villarino

Director:

Adriano J. Camps Carmona

Department of Signal Theory and Communications

Technical University of Catalonia

Preface

This thesis was completely carried out in the Department of Signal Theory and Communications of the Technical University of Catalonia (UPC).

I would like to express my gratitude to my Ph. D. Thesis advisor, Dr. Adriano Camps for his help. He offered to me the opportunity to develop this work along these last years, and defend it.

Thank you to all the people of the Antennas and Microwaves group, especially Dr. S. Blanch, who contributed actively in the design and the measurement diagram of the antenna. I. Corbella, F. Torres, Mercé Vall-llossera, N. Duffo and A. Aguasca who participated in the design and construction of the receiver, to Joaquim Giner, head of the laboratory who contributed into the assembling of the circuitry as well as in the participation in the campaigns, and Alfredo Cano head of the mechanical workshop and Josep Pastor, who were the responsables of the radiometer's structure building.

Thanks to Luis Enrique, Jorge Miranda, Carolina Gabarró, Roberto Sabia and Sandra Moneris who participated during the development of the field campaigns. Thank you to all the people who made their final project related to my thesis.

Specially regards for the technicians of the Casablanca oil rig and IRTA facilities for helping to us to solve the difficulties encountered.

Executive summary

Two important applications in remote sensing have received special attention in the past years: (ocean salinity and soil moisture). This work focuses in the first one. Ocean salinity concentration is related to climate monitoring. Its frequent knowledge at a global scale is important in climate predictions, because it is a tracer of sea surface currents and it is an indicator of the difference between evaporation and precipitation. In May 1999, the European Space Agency (ESA) selected SMOS (Soil Moisture and Ocean Salinity) as the second Earth Explorer Opportunity mission. Its main goals are the study of the soil moisture and the ocean surface salinity. To measure these parameters an L-band dual-polarization radiometer with full polarimetric capability called MIRAS (Microwave Interferometric Radiometer of Aperture Synthesis) will be embarked on a satellite that will be launched at the beginning of 2007.

To improve of the emissivity models at L-band, and to study the retrieval of sea surface salinity using multi-angular radiometric measurements, ESA sponsored two WISE (*Wind and Salinity Experiment*) field experiments that took place during the last two months of 2000 and 2001 at the *Casablanca* oil-rig, 40 km away from the *Ebro* river mouth at the coast of *Tarragona, Spain*. Five scientific teams from three different countries (*Spain, France and US*) participated.

The main parameter measured in these two experiments was the brightness temperature (T_B) to be related to the sea state, wind, foam, swell, etc. To do this, a real aperture fully-polarimetric *Dicke* radiometer was designed and implemented at UPC.

However, the emission of foam and of the sea surface perturbed by rain were difficult to determine, since sea state effects masked them. During the spring of 2003 a new field experiment called Foam, Rain, Oil Slicks and GPS-reflections (FROG) 2003 experiment was sponsored by the Spanish Government to determine the emission these two factors, plus the effects of having an oil film such as in the case of an oil spill. The controlled experiment was performed at the facilities of the Institut de Recerca en Tècniques Agropecuàries (IRTA) at Poble Nou del Delta, in the Ebro river mouth

The main results of the WISE and FROG field experiments can be summarized as follows:

- The sensitivity of the brightness temperature (T_B) to wind speed extrapolated at nadir ($\theta = 0^\circ$), is ~ 0.23 K/(m/s) or ~ 0.25 K/(m/s) depending on the atmospheric stability.
- There is a modulation of the instantaneous T_B due to the wave slopes that increases with a wind speed at a rate of ~ 0.1 - 0.15 K/(m/s).
- The impact of the presence of sea foam in the L-band is estimated to be 0.18 K at wind speed of 20 m/s, (WISE field experiments). The foam induced T_B increases at vertical and decreases at horizontal polarization with increasing the incidence angle.
- At L-band the rainfall contribution is smaller than foam contribution and negligible except for the formation of a fresh water layer and the dumping of large waves.

List of Acronyms

ADC	Analog to digital converter
AGC	Automatic Gain Control
CEPT	Centre d'Études Terrestres et Planétaires
CU	Control Unit
DAC	Digital to analog converter
DC	direct current
DCU	Digital Correlator Unit
DDE	Dynamic Data Exchange
DR	Dicke Radiometer
DRM	Data Review Meeting
ESA	European Space Agency
ENSO	El Niño Southern Oscillation
FOV	Field Of View
FROG	Foam Rain Oil and GPS reflectometry
GPS	Global Position System
ICM	Institut de Ciències del Mar
IRTA	Institut de Recerca i Tecnologia Agroalimentàries
KO	Kick-Off meeting
LAURA	L-band AUtomatic RAdiometer
LF	Low Frequency
LNA	Low Noise Amplifier
LO	Local Oscillator
LODYC	Laboratoire d'Océanographie Dynamique et Climatologie
MBE	Main Beam Efficiency
MC	Motor Controller
MIRAS	Microwave Imaging Radiometer by Aperture Synthesis
OS	Ocean Salinity

List of Acronyms

PCM	Pre-Campaign Meeting
PM	Progress Meeting
PRM	Preliminary Results Meeting
PSU	Practical Salinity Unit
RF	Radio Frequency
RFI	Radio Frequency Interference
<i>SM</i>	Soil Moisture
SMOS	Soil Moisture Ocean Salinity
SSA	Small Slopes Approximations
<i>SSS</i>	Sea Surface Salinity
<i>SST</i>	Sea Surface Temperature
<i>SWH</i>	Significant Wave Height
TCU	Thermal Control Unit
UMass	University of Massachusetts
UPC	Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya
UPS	Uninterruptible Power Supply
UTC	Universal Time Coordinate
WISE	WInd and Salinity Experiment
pol	polarization
pdf	probability density function
rms	root mean square

List of symbols

A_t	Total area which radiates electromagnetic energy
A_r	Effective area of the antenna collecting the electromagnetic energy
B	Equivalent pre-detection noise bandwidth
$B(\theta, \phi)$	Brightness
B_{bb}	Brightness of a black-body
$B_f(\theta, \phi)$	Spectral brightness density
E_v	Electric field at vertical polarization
E_h	Electric field at horizontal polarization
$F(U_{10})$	Fractional sea foam coverage as a function of wind speed at 10 m
$F_r(\theta, \phi)$	Antenna radiation pattern
$F_n(\theta, \phi)$	Normalized antenna radiation pattern. Maximum equal to one
G_s	Receiver Front-end's gain
H	Horizontal
I	In-phase signal
K_i	Proportional constant of the PID control
L_a	Atmospheric attenuation
N_T	Digital correlator's total count
P	Power collected by an antenna
P_{bb}	Power collected by an antenna surrounded by a black body
$P(k)$	Slope spectrum as a function of the wavenumber
Q	Quadrature signal
R	Distance between the antenna and the radiating surface
RH_{ext}	External relative humidity (measured from the UPC meteorological station)
RH_{int}	Internal relative humidity (measured from the UPC meteorological station)
S_{ij}	Scattering parameters Matrix
$S(k)$	Surface's height spectrum as a function of the wavenumber
$T_B(\theta, \phi)$	Brightness Temperature

List of Symbols

$T_{B,H,V}^{Foam}$	Brightness temperature of 100 % foam-covered flat surface
$T_{B,H,V}^{Fresnel}$	Brightness temperature of the flat sea surface
$T_{B,H,V}^{Water}$	Brightness temperature of 100 % foam-free water surface
$T_{B,H,V}^{measured}$	Brightness temperature measured by the radiometer
$\Delta T_{A,H,V}^{finite\ beam}$	Brightness temperature error variation due to the finite antenna's beamwidth
$T_{B,H,V}^C$	Corrected brightness temperature
T_H	Brightness temperature at horizontal polarization
T_V	Brightness temperature at vertical polarization
T_{AP}	Apparent temperature
T_{MB}	Main beam apparent temperature
T_{SL}	Side lobes apparent temperature
T_o	Physical temperature
T_{SC}	Atmospheric downward radiation reflected by the surface
T_{UP}	Atmospheric upward radiation
T_A	Antenna temperature
T_{break}	Wave period of the breaking wave
T_{DN}	Atmospheric downward emission
T_{ref}	Reference-source noise temperature
T_{refH}	H-channel reference source physical temperature
T_{refV}	V-channel reference source physical temperature
T_{int}	Radiometer's physical temperature
T_{ext}	External physical temperature
T_{ph_corr}	Physical temperature of the digital correlator unit
T_{ph_abs}	Physical temperature of the absorber
T_{abs}	T_B from the absorber
T_{sky}	T_B from the sky
T_{surf}	Sea surface temperature

T_{ms_ext}	External Physical temperature (measured from the UPC meteorological station)
T_{ms_int}	Radiometer's Physical temperature (measured from the UPC meteorological station)
T_{REC}	Receiver noise temperature
T_d	Derivative constant of the PID control
T_i	Integrate constant of the PID control
U	3 st Stokes parameter in brightness temperature, (also known as T_3)
U_{10}	Wind speed referred to 10 m height
V	4 st Stokes parameter in brightness temperature, (also known as T_4)
$V_{B_{H,V}}$	Dicke radiometers output's voltage
V_H	Dicke radiometers output's voltage for the horizontal polarization
V_V	Dicke radiometers output's voltage for the vertical polarization
V	Vertical
WS	Wind speed (measured from the UPC meteorological station)
WD	Wind direction (measured from the UPC meteorological station)
c	speed of the light
d	Foam layer thickness
$e(\theta, \phi)$	Emissivity
e_w	Emissivity of water
$e_{H,V}^c$	Corrected emissivity of the two channels
e_H	Emissivity of the horizontal channel
e_V	Emissivity of the vertical channel
f	Electromagnetic frequency
f_a	Void fraction beneath the foam layer
f_o	Central frequency ($f_o = 1,413.5$ MHz for LAURA and SMOS)
f_c	Cut-off frequency
f_s	Dicke switching frequency
h	Planck's constant = $6.64 \cdot 10^{-34}$ J
k	Boltzmann's constant = $1.38 \cdot 10^{-23}$ J · K ⁻¹

List of Symbols

ρ	Polarization
r_p	Average bubbles radius
ΔT_o	Physical temperature variations
ΔT_{ref}	Reference-source noise temperature variations
ΔG_s	Gain fluctuations
ΔT	Radiometric sensitivity
ΔT	The sea-air temperature difference
$\Delta T_{B_{H,V}}$	Induced brightness temperature at H- and V- polarization
$\Delta_F T_B$	Foam-induced brightness temperature change
$\Gamma_{H,V}$	Fresnel reflection coefficient
δ	Bubble's water coating thickness
ϵ_r	Dielectric permittivity
ϵ_W	Permittivity of sea water taking into account bubbles
ϵ_{SW}	Permittivity of sea water
$\epsilon_{N\alpha}$	Permittivity of the foam layer
ϕ_l	Liquid fraction and the water/foam conductivity
κ	Bubble's packing coefficient stickiness
λ	Wavelength
μ_{xy}	Corrected normalized correlation
η_r	Antenna ohmic efficiency
η_{MBE}	Main beam efficiency
ρ_{xy}	Measured normalized correlation
σ	Foam conductivity, water conductivity ratio
σ_{foam}	Foam conductivity
σ_{water}	Water conductivity
σ_{air}	Air conductivity
θ	Incidence angle ($\theta = 0^\circ$ NADIR)
τ	Radiometer integration time

τ_{foam}	Foam persistence time
Ω_t	Solid angle subtended by the transmitting antenna

Table of Contents

I	Introduction to basic radiometry concepts	1
I.1	Brightness and power collected by an antenna	1
I.2	Thermal radiation	2
I.2.1	Quantum Theory of Radiation	2
I.2.2	Planck's black-body radiation's law	2
I.2.3	Power-temperature correspondence	4
I.2.4	Gray-body radiation	5
I.2.4.1	Brightness temperature and emissivity	5
I.2.4.2	The apparent temperature	6
I.3	Perturbations in the measurement of the brightness temperature	7
I.3.1	Faraday rotation	7
I.3.2	Space radiation	7
I.4	Frame work and organization of this Ph.D.thesis	8
II	LAURA (<i>L-Band AUtomatic RAdiometer</i>) instrument. Introduction	11
II.1	LAURA radiometer. Radiometer description	11
II.1.1	Dicke radiometer	12
II.1.1.1	The antenna	13
II.1.1.2	Dicke switch and Low noise amplifier (LNA)	16
II.1.1.3	Band-pass filter	18
II.1.1.4	I/Q Demodulator	19
II.1.1.5	Local oscillator (LO)	20
II.1.1.6	Resistive divider	20
II.1.1.7	Post-detection circuit	21
II.1.2	Digital Correlator Unit (DCU)	23
II.1.3	Additional circuitry	25
II.1.3.1	Signal conditioning circuit (V_{H_i} , V_{V_i} , T_{refH_i} , T_{refV})	25
II.1.3.2	AD converter and voltage to current converter unit	26
II.2	Control Unit (CU)	27
II.2.1	Introduction	27
II.2.2	Uninterruptible Power Supply (UPS)	27
II.2.3	Motor controller (MC)	27
II.2.4	Thermal control unit (TCU)	28
II.2.4.1	Analog temperature control	28
II.2.4.2	The software temperature control	32
II.2.4.2.1	Basic concepts about classic control theory	33
II.2.4.2.1.1	Proportional control (P)	33
II.2.4.2.1.2	Proportional Integration control (PI)	34
II.2.4.2.1.3	Proportional Derivative control (PD)	34
II.2.4.2.1.4	Proportional Derivate Integral control (PID)	34
II.2.5	Fans unit, frequency synthesizer and power computer	36
II.3	The positioner system	37

II.4	Other instruments	38
II.4.1	Meteorological station and GPS receiver	38
II.4.2	Video cameras	39
II.5	The LAURA's control software	40
II.6	Conclusions	42
III	WISE (<i>Wind and Salinity Experiment</i>) 2000 and 2001 experiment	43
III.1	Introduction and scientific objectives	43
III.2	Experiment description	43
III.2.1	Instruments description	43
III.2.1.1	Radiometric data	45
III.2.1.1.1	L-band AUtomatic RAdiometer (LAURA), UPC	45
III.2.1.1.2	Dual-Polarized L-band Radiometer, UMass	45
III.2.1.1.3	Ka-band Polarimetric Radiometer "KaPR", UMass	46
III.2.1.2	Ground-truth Data	47
III.2.1.2.1	Meteorological Stations	47
III.2.1.2.2	Oceanographic buoys	48
III.2.1.2.2.1	Buoy 1, ICM	48
III.2.1.2.2.2	Buoy 2, ICM	50
III.2.1.2.2.3	Buoy 3, LODYC	51
III.2.1.2.2.4	Buoy 4, LODYC	51
III.2.1.2.4	Video camera, UPC	52
III.2.1.2.5	Infrared radiometer, UV	53
III.2.1.3	Satellite imagery and other data	54
III.2.1.3.1	QUICKSCAT Wind Speed data, LODYC	54
III.2.1.3.2	AVHRR SST data, LODYC	54
III.2.1.3.3	ARPEGE Wind Speed data	54
III.2.1.3.4	Water samples, ICM	54
III.2.2	Summary of key measurements and data products	55
III.2.3	Organization and logistics	57
III.2.3.1	Introduction	57
III.2.3.2	Material preparation, transportation, and instruments deployment and recovery	59
III.2.3.3	Organization scheduling. Problems encountered	63
III.3	Radiometric measurements strategy	65
III.4	Conclusions	68
IV	WISE field experiments data processing and results. Inter-comparison with theoretical models	69
IV.1	Introduction	69
IV.2	Data processing	69
IV.2.1	Raw data input files and data pre-processing	69
IV.2.2	Radiometric data processing	72
IV.2.3	Foam data processing	74
IV.3	WISE results: Sea state effects on the instantaneous brightness temperature (T_B)	75
IV.3.1	Incidence scan measurements: Inter-comparison with the theoretical models	75

IV.3.1.1	Brightness temperature sensitivity to wind speed derived from the WISE measurements	75
IV.3.1.2	Inter-comparison between WISE measurements and the theoretical models.....	82
IV.3.1.3	Sea state effects on the instantaneous brightness temperature. Brightness temperature standard deviation sensitivity to wind speed.....	84
IV.3.2	Azimuth scan measurements.....	87
IV.4	WISE results: Sea foam effects on the instantaneous T_B measurements	91
IV.4.1	Introduction. Macroscopic and microscopic foam structure, foam formations	91
IV.4.2	Sea foam coverage as a function of the wind speed	93
IV.4.3	Experimentally-derived sea foam brightness temperature.....	95
IV.4.4	Inter-comparison with the two-layers theoretical model.....	98
IV.4.5	Other whitecap phenomenology	98
IV.5	Conclusions	100
V	FROG (Foam, Rain, Oil Slicks and GPS reflections) experiment plan.....	101
V.1	Introduction and scientific objectives	101
V.2	Experiment description	101
V.2.1	Experimental set-up.....	101
V.2.1.1	Foam generator.....	102
V.2.1.2	Rain generator.....	102
V.2.2	Instruments' description	102
V.2.2.1	LAURA (L-band AUTomatic RAdiometer)	103
V.2.2.2	Video cameras	103
V.2.2.3	Water roughness and air-fraction meter.....	104
V.2.2.4	Other instruments.....	105
V.2.3	Summary of measurements and data products.....	107
V.2.4	Organization and logistic.....	108
V.2.4.1	Introduction.....	108
V.2.4.2	Material preparation, transportation, and instruments deployment	109
V.2.4.3	Organization. Operators scheduling. Problems encountered.....	111
V.3	Radiometric measurement strategy	113
V.3.1	Previous considerations to the radiometric measurements	113
V.3.2	Type of radiometric measurements	113
V.3.3	Foam contribution to T_B	114
V.3.3.1	Foam surface's cover acquisition	114
V.3.3.2	Foam vertical profile acquisition.....	115
V.3.3.3	Determination of the void fraction beneath the foam layer.....	115
V.3.4	Influence of the rain and water surface roughness	116
V.3.4.1	Rain-perturbed radiometric measurements.....	116
V.3.4.2	Rain-perturbed water surface roughness measurement	117
V.3.5	Oil slicks radiometric measurements	117
VI	Data processing and results of the FROG field campaign. Inter-comparison with theoretical models.....	119
VI.1	Introduction	119
VI.2	Data processing.....	119

VI.2.1	Raw data pre-processing	119
VI.2.2	Radiometric data processing.....	121
VI.2.3	Foam data processing.....	122
VI.3	The two-layer theoretical model.....	122
VI.4	FROG results. Foam contribution to the T_B measurement	125
VI.4.1	Experimentally-derived sea water foam brightness temperature	126
VI.4.2	Sea water foam structure parameters measurement.....	127
VI.4.2.1	Bubbles radii measurement.....	127
VI.4.2.2	Foam layer thickness measurement	130
VI.4.2.3	Void fraction beneath the foam layer.....	131
VI.4.2.4	Main results on foam emission. Inter-comparison with the two-layer model.....	132
VI.5	Rain contribution to the T_B measurement	137
VI.5.1	Experimentally-derived rain-perturbed water T_B	137
VI.6	Oil slicks contribution to the T_B measurement	139
VI.6.1	Experimentally-derived oil slicks T_B	140
VI.7	Conclusions	140
VII	Conclusions and future research lines	143
	References.....	145
	Publications.....	149
	List of figures.....	153
	List of tables	159
	Appendix 1. Probably links to the devices datasheets.....	161
	Appendix 2. WISE (<i>Wind and Salinity Experiment</i>) 2000 and 2001 Technical documentation	163
	Appendix 3. Instruments specifications.....	167